

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,692	02/22/2002	Charles Edward Schinner	10016759-1 1240	
7590 06/14/2006			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			GIBBS, HEATHER D	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/081,692	SCHINNER, CHARLES EDWARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Heather D. Gibbs	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	ebruary 2002.				
,_	·				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,5-10 and 15-18 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,11-14 and 19-22 is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/22/02 HOG	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/081,692

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2,6-8,10,15-16,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hachiyama et al (US 2002/0039202 A1).

Regarding claim 1, which is representative of claims 7 and 15, an image capturing device, comprising: an electronic image sensor (Paragraph 0026); a processor communicating with said electronic image sensor; and a memory communicating with said processor and including a full-size image storage area storing a full-size image and a transfer image storage area storing a corresponding transfer image; wherein during an image capture said image capturing device captures and stores a full-size image in said full-size image storage area and also stores in said transfer image storage area a transfer image that is a smaller version of said full-size image (Paragraph 0025,0028).

Regarding claim 2, which is representative of claims 10 and 18, Hachiyama teaches said memory further comprising a user-settable transfer image enable version that controls whether a transfer image is created and saved (Paragraph 0060).

Application/Control Number: 10/081,692

Art Unit: 2625

For claim 6, which is representative of claims 8 and 16, Hachiyama teaches memory further comprising: a decompression routine; and a sampling routine; wherein said image capturing device decompresses said full-size image and samples said full-size image in order to created said transfer image (Fig 1;Paragraph 0032).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5,9,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hachiyama in view of Kusaka (US 2002/0093575 A1).

Hachiyama discloses the device as discussed above.

Hachiyama does not disclose expressly wherein said transfer image storage area further comprises a transfer image filename storage.

Kusaka discloses wherein said transfer image storage further a transfer image filename storage (Paragraphs 0073-0095).

Hachiyama & Kusaka are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Kusaka and Hachiyama.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to identify image data, as taught by Kusaka.

Art Unit: 2625

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kusaka with Hachiyama to obtain the invention as specified in claims 5,9,17.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 3-4,11-14,19-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Examiner found neither prior art cited in its entirety, nor based on the prior art, found any motivation to combine any of the said prior art which teaches memory comprising a transmission bandwidth table including one or more transmission bandwidth entries and corresponding transfer image sized, wherein a transmission bandwidth input selects a corresponding transfer image size from said transmission bandwidth table and transmission mode table including one or more transmission mode entries and corresponding transfer image sizes, wherein a transmission mode input selects a corresponding transfer image size from said transmission mode table, respectively, as set forth in previously cited claims including all of the features recited therein.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather D. Gibbs whose telephone number is 571-272-7404. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu 8AM-7PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,692

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heather D Gibbs

Examiner
Art Unit 2625

hdg

TEMASDILIE